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Abstract
Osteocytes, which are embedded in bone matrix, are the most abundant cells in bone. Despite the ideal location of osteocytes to sense the local environment and influence bone remodeling, their functions, and the relative importance of these functions, remain controversial. In this study, we tested several hypotheses that address the possibilities that population densities of osteocyte lacunae (Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar) correlate with strain-, remodeling- or metabolism-related aspects of the local biomechanical environments of mid-third diaphyseal equine radii and third metacarpals from skeletally mature animals. Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar data, quantified in multiple cortical locations, were analyzed for possible correlations with (1) structural and material characteristics (e.g., cortical thickness, percent ash, secondary osteon population density, mean osteon cross-sectional area, and predominant collagen fiber orientation), (2) strain characteristics, including prevalent/predominant strain magnitude and mode (tension, compression, shear), (3) hypothesized strain-mode-related microdamage characteristics, which might be perceived by osteocyte ‘operational’ networks, and (4) variations in remodeling dynamics and/or metabolism (i.e. presumably higher in endocortical regions than in other transcortical locations). Results showed relatively uniform Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar between regions with highly non-uniform strain and strain-related environments and markedly heterogeneous structural and material organization. These results suggest that population densities of these cells are poorly correlated with mechanobiological characteristics, including local variations in metabolic rate and strain magnitude/mode. Although osteocytes hypothetically evolved both as strain sensors and fatigue damage sensors able to direct the removal of damage as needed, the mechanisms that govern the distribution of these cells remain unclear. The results of this study provide little or no evidence that the number of osteocyte lacunae has a functional role in mechanotransduction pathways that are typically considered in bone adaptation.

Abbreviations used in this paper

\begin{tabular}{ll}
Ca & Calcium \\
CFO & Predominant collagen fiber orientation \\
Cd & Caudal \\
Cr & Cranial \\
Lc-Lc & Lacuna-lacuna distance \\
MC3 & Third metacarpal \\
On.Ar & Osteon area \\
On.Ar/T.Ar & Fractional area of secondary osteonal bone \\
On.N/T.Ar & Secondary osteon population density \\
Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar & Osteocyte lacuna population density \\
\end{tabular}
Introduction

Osteocytes, which are embedded in bone matrix, are by far the most abundant cells in bone. Their cell bodies are located in lacunae (ellipsoid cavities) and are extensively connected to one another as well as to bone-forming cells (osteoblasts) and bone-lining cells by cytoplasmic processes (dendrites) that radiate and ramify within canaliculi [Doty, 1981; Marotti, 1996; Ferretti et al., 1999]. Despite the ideal location of osteocytes to sense the local environment, their functions, and the relative importance of these functions, remain controversial. The multifactorial functions of osteocytes and the lacunocanalicular spaces in which they reside may include (1) mineral homeostasis [Aarden et al., 1994; Mullender et al., 1996b; Ehrlich et al., 2002; Knothe Tate, 2003; Parfitt, 2003; Tazawa et al., 2004], (2) nutrient transport and signal transmission [Starkebaum, 1979; Kelly, 1983; Lanyon, 1993; Marotti, 2000; Yellowley et al., 2000; Alford et al., 2003; Mishra and Knothe Tate, 2003], (3) mechanosensation/mechanotransduction [Cowin et al., 1991; Duncan and Turner, 1995; Mosley and Lanyon, 1998; Burger and Klein-Nulend, 1999; Weinbaum et al., 2001; Rubinacci et al., 2002], (4) microdamage detection [Mori and Burr, 1993; Bentolila et al., 1998; Martin, 2000; Reilly, 2000; Vashishth et al., 2000; Noble et al., 2003], and (5) the control of processes that mediate some bone remodeling and modeling activities, as well as the attainment of bone mass [Carter, 1987; Butler, 1989; Mullender and Huiskes, 1995; Terai et al., 1999; Martin, 2000; Power et al., 2002; Vashishth et al., 2002; Burger et al., 2003]. These interrelated functions may be mediated by intercellular communication via gap junctions or cellular activation resulting from interstitial fluid flow. For example, there is evidence that osteocyte cellular networks are sensitized to load-induced signals by low-level electromagnetic fields, which are produced by ion flow through intercellular gap junctions [Donahue, 1998, 2000; Yellowley et al., 2000]. These and other functions of this synecytium are probably influenced by variations in osteocyte densities [Marotti, 1996; Vashishth et al., 2000; Mishra and Knothe Tate, 2003].

Extending the work of Marotti et al. [1990] and Marotti [1996, 2000], Martin [2000] has suggested that osteocytes form a network that represses osteon-remodeling activity as governed by the local strain environment. This repression is removed when changes in the strain environment sufficiently perturb the cellular network (e.g., microdamage or limb immobilization). This hypothesized repressive function is also directly correlated with cell density [Metz et al., 2003]. In computation analyses, several investigators have suggested that osteocytes function as sensors of strain or strain-related stimuli (e.g., fluid flow and cell deformation), which can be upregulated in a cell density-dependent manner [Cowin et al., 1991; Weinbaum et al., 1994; Mullender and Huiskes, 1997; Carter and Beaupré, 2001]. For example, Mullender and Huiskes [1997] and Huiskes [2000] suggest that osteocytes, after receiving mechanical signals in the form of predominant strains, can regulate the activity of nearby basic multicellular units (i.e. the osteoclasts/osteoblasts of forming secondary osteons). Using a finite element model of a forming basic multicellular unit, Burger et al. [2003] also suggest that osteocyte-mediated activation of basic multicellular units might be a strain-regulated phenomenon influenced by osteocyte density. If osteocytes have important mechanosensory functions and influence osteon formation, and if these functions are influenced by their densities and governed by strain-related stimuli, then these ‘sensors’ might have different densities in regions exposed to different strain environments. Osteocytes might also be more numerous in bone with higher renewal rates [Canè et al., 1982; Power et al., 2002] or metabolism [Mullender et al., 1996a; Cullinane, 2002].

In order to further evaluate relationships between osteocyte populations and habitual strain environments, regional metabolism or remodeling dynamics, cellular communication, and microdamage detection, it is useful to examine their densities in bones with well-described strain environments such as equine radii and third metacarpals (MC3s). These bones experience predictable bending at mid-diaphysis, which results in opposing cortical regions being loaded in prevalent/predominant tension and compression [Schneider et al., 1982; Gross et al., 1992; Mason et al., 1995; Skedros et al., 1996] (fig. 1). In these habitual bending environments, compression locations typically receive relatively high magnitude strains, while neutral axis and endocortical regions (i.e. near the medullary canal) typically receive relatively low magnitude longitudinal strains. The low strain magnitudes, increased vascularity and proximity of endocortical regions to marrow may also influence their increased remodeling activity [Skedros et al., 1994b, 1996; Frost, 1998; Martin, 2000; Parfitt, 2001]. Neutral axis regions experience the highest strain gradients and highest directional fluid flow [Judex et al., 1997a], in addition to prevalent shear strains and principal (maximum) strains that are notably oblique to the longitudinal axis of the bone.

These non-uniform strain, fluid flow and remodeling environments can be associated with regional differences...
in bone material organization or composition (e.g., predominant collagen fiber orientation (CFO), secondary osteon population density (On.N/T.Ar), secondary osteon ‘types’ (e.g., lamellar vs. parallel-fibered) [Marotti, 1996], mean osteon cross-sectional area, and percent ash). Some of these characteristics may be adaptations for the regionally prevalent/predominant strain mode (tension, compression or shear), magnitude or other strain-related characteristics. For example, cortices loaded habitually in compression tend to have greater On.N/T.Ar and/or more oblique-to-transverse CFO than opposing tension cortices [Marotti, 1963; Lanyon and Bourn, 1979; Bouvier and Hylander, 1981; Portigliatti Barbos et al., 1984; Skedros, 1994a; Mason et al., 1995; Skedros et al., 1996, 1997; Takano et al., 1999; Skedros, 2001a; Skedros et al., 2001b, 2001c; Kalmey and Lovejoy, 2002]. In turn, if specific strain characteristics are important determinants of bone remodeling and if osteocyte densities influence remodeling, then osteocyte densities might be correlated with osteon-mediated material characteristics, e.g., CFO, On.N/T.Ar, fractional area of secondary osteonal bone (On.Ar/T.Ar), osteon area (On.Ar), porosity from osteon canals, and percent ash from changes in mean tissue age associated with frequencies of young osteons. Osteocyte densities might therefore be useful for interpreting loading history.

In this study, we quantified differences in osteocyte lacuna population densities (Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar; n/mm²) between cortices (dorsal, palmar, etc.) and transcortical ‘regions’ (pericortical, middle cortical, endocortical) and compared them with our previously published data correlating structural, material and strain characteristics in equine radii and MC3s [Mason et al., 1995; Skedros et al., 1996]. The strain characteristics include regional variations in strain magnitude and mode, and consider neutral axis locations, marrow proximity and remodeling activity. A mean distance between neighboring osteocyte lacunae and spatial heterogeneity of Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar for each location was estimated as well, in order to identify differences in their distribution. The data are also considered in the context of a literature review regarding important putative functions that osteocytes have in limb bone cortices. The hypotheses tested include the following:

1. Osteocyte lacuna densities will correlate with areas habitually loaded in compression due to the typically higher strains or other strain or strain-related characteristics, more predominantly oblique-to-transverse collagen fibers and/or higher On.N/T.Ar.
2. Osteocyte lacuna densities will correlate with proximity to marrow (i.e. endocortical regions), which experience relatively low strain and relatively higher remodeling/metabolic activity than other regions.
3. Osteocyte lacuna densities will exhibit differences in ‘tension’, ‘compression’ and ‘neutral axis’ cortices, possibly satisfying different regional, ‘sensor’ requirements for detecting strain-mode-related differences in microdamage incidence and/or size (e.g., smaller-sized
microcracks in habitual tension environments compared with habitual compression environments).

4 Spatial heterogeneity of osteocyte lacunae will exhibit correlations with On.Ar/T.Ar and/or with strain mode variations as a function of the prevalence of specific secondary osteon ‘types’ (i.e. parallel-fibered secondary osteons vs. lamellar secondary osteons).

Materials and Methods

Ten radii and nine MC3s were obtained from skeletally mature Standardbred horses with no evidence of skeletal pathology at the time of death and no history of racing or race training. The bones had been used in previous studies that reported correlations of various material characteristics with their habitual strain environments from the mid-diaphysis (MC3) or from the middle third of the diaphysis (radius; two sectioned locations) [Mason et al., 1995; Skedros et al., 1996]. The radii were randomly selected from 10 horses and the MC3s from 9 horses, resulting in an approximately even number of left and right bones. Each bone was manually cleaned of soft tissue and then sectioned transversely at the 50% (MC3) or 50 and 65% of its length (radius). One 5-mm-thick segment was cut immediately distal to each of these transection levels. The segments were embedded (undemineralized, unstained) in polymethyl methacrylate [Emmanual et al., 1987] and prepared for backscattered electron imaging in the scanning electron microscope [Skedros et al., 1993a, 1993b; Bloebaum et al., 1997].

In the MC3s, one 50 × high-resolution backscattered electron micrograph (1.6 × 2.3 mm) was taken within each of the three transcortical ‘envelopes’ or ‘regions’ (i.e. pericortical, middle cortical and endocortical) in octant locations: dorsal, dorsal-lateral, lateral, palmar-lateral, palmar, palmar-medial, medial and dorsomedial, for a total of 24 image locations (fig. 1). In the radii, two 50 × high-resolution backscattered electron micrographs (2.71 × 2.71 mm) were taken within each of the three regions of the cranial (Cr) and caudal (Cd) cortices, and one image in each region of the medial and lateral cortices for a total of 12 image locations in each section. Micrographs were developed on Polaroid™ film.

Osteocyte lacunae were manually counted by trained technicians and confirmed by the principal investigator who independently analyzed a subset of images. The images were randomly assorted and the technicians were blinded to cortical location and to the hypotheses of the study. Inter- and intraobserver error was <1.5%. Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar per bone area (n/mm2) were calculated by dividing the total number of lacunae by bone area (excluding non-lacunar porosity). Cell viability within lacunae could not be determined.

In each image, an estimated mean distance between neighboring osteocyte lacunae was also calculated by using the formula shown in figure 2, which allowed for estimates of 2-dimensional and 3-dimensional lacuna-lacuna (Lc-Lc) distances (in microns). This method produced similar values for Lc-Lc distances reported by Weinbaum et al. [1994] who also based these estimations on 2-dimensional Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar data in previous studies.

Spatial heterogeneity of osteocyte lacunae was estimated by calculating the coefficient of variation for each region. This was accomplished by dividing the standard deviation by the mean [Vashishth et al., 2000]. Spatial heterogeneity was examined (1) in the context of strain mode and transcortical region (which correlates with strain magnitude and marrow proximity), and (2) for correlations with On.Ar/T.Ar Ar.

Additional microstructural and ultrastructural characteristics were also correlated with Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar data. These characteristics, reported in our previous studies using the same images and tissue specimens, included On.N/T.Ar, On.Ar/T.Ar, On.Ar, predominant CFO (see below), porosity, and percent ash. In each bone, cortical thickness measurements were also made at the locations of the images.

In the mid-cortical region of quadrant (radii) or octant (MC3s) locations, predominant CFO was determined using circularly polarized light as previously described [Mason et al., 1995; Skedros et al., 1996]. Regional differences in CFO were quantified in terms of corresponding differences in the transmitted light intensity (expressed as weighted mean gray level), where darker gray levels (lower numerical values) represent relatively more longitudinal CFO, and brighter gray levels (higher numerical values) represent relatively more oblique-to-transverse CFO. The methods used to quantify regional CFO differences in cortical bone as differences in gray

![Fig. 2. Three-dimensional (3-D) lattice used to estimate 3-dimensional mean osteocyte Lc-Lc distances from two-dimensional (2-D) Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar data. The equations used for these estimates are also shown.](image-url)
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levels [Skedros et al., 1996; Bromage et al., 2003] have produced relative differences that are similar to the ‘longitudinal structure index’ used by others [Martin and Burr, 1989; Martin et al., 1996; Takano et al., 1999; Kalmey and Lovejoy, 2002].

In the MC3s, correlation analyses were also conducted between Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar, the morphologic characteristics, and estimated values of normal and shear strain magnitudes, and summed strain energy densities. Examining normal and absolute values of shear and normal strain also allowed for the assessment of strain mode influences. As described in our previous study [Skedros et al., 1996], these data were obtained from a 2-dimensional finite element model representing the mid-diaphysis of mid-stance loading of Thoroughbred and Quarter horses [Gross et al., 1992].

A multiple comparisons ANOVA model was used for statistical analysis, with 10 and 9 bones for radii and metacarpals, respectively. All possible paired comparisons between cortical locations (pericortical, middle cortical and endocortical) and quadrants (radii) or octants (MC3s) were assessed for statistical significance using a two-way ANOVA (location, region) with Fisher’s protected least significant difference post-hoc test (Stat View Version 5.0, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, N.C., USA) [Sokal and Rohlf, 1995]. In the radii, a three-way ANOVA (section, quadrant, intracortical region) was used to determine significance between 50 and 65% section locations. In the equine radii, an alpha level $^*$0.05 was considered statistically significant. Because of the comparatively large number of comparisons in the MC3s, Bonferroni corrections yielded an alpha level $^*$0.002 for significance. Comparisons were also made for ‘tension’ versus ‘compression’ areas (fig. 1, tables 1, 2). Pearson correlation coefficients were determined for various comparisons, and Spearman correlations were used in the MC3s for strain versus morphologic characteristics.

**Table 1.** Structural and material characteristics by strain mode areas (equine MC3 regions are based on shifting neutral axes shown in figure 1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cortical locations</th>
<th>Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar (n/mm²)</th>
<th>Spatial het</th>
<th>CFO</th>
<th>WMGL</th>
<th>On.N/T.Ar (n/mm²)</th>
<th>On.Ar/T.Ar</th>
<th>Ash (%)</th>
<th>Porosity (%)</th>
<th>Cort. Th. mm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>MC3</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tension ‘1’ (D, D-L, L)</td>
<td>494.5 (98.8)</td>
<td>0.193</td>
<td>129.1 (20.2)</td>
<td>14.4 (6.5)</td>
<td>36.0 (16.9)</td>
<td>69.5 (5.5)</td>
<td>4.7 (1.6)</td>
<td>9.4 (1.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tension ‘2’ (D-L, L)</td>
<td>474.8 (99.0)</td>
<td>0.207</td>
<td>119.6 (17.4)</td>
<td>13.7 (6.1)</td>
<td>35.3 (16.5)</td>
<td>69.7 (4.8)</td>
<td>4.5 (1.2)</td>
<td>9.3 (1.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘C1’ (P-L, P, P-M, M, D-M)</td>
<td>463.8 (105.5)</td>
<td>0.207</td>
<td>150.1 (30.0)</td>
<td>16.0 (7.4)</td>
<td>42.7 (16.4)</td>
<td>68.5 (5.9)</td>
<td>5.2 (2.1)</td>
<td>8.4 (1.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘C2’ (P-L, P, P-M, M)</td>
<td>446.6 (92.6)</td>
<td>0.208</td>
<td>153.7 (28.6)</td>
<td>16.4 (7.1)</td>
<td>43.9 (15.5)</td>
<td>70.8 (7.6)</td>
<td>5.4 (2.3)</td>
<td>7.7 (0.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘C3’ (P-L, P, P-M)</td>
<td>427.3 (91.8)</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>158.5 (26.2)</td>
<td>16.6 (6.6)</td>
<td>44.4 (14.2)</td>
<td>67.0 (5.4)</td>
<td>5.6 (2.6)</td>
<td>6.3 (0.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘C4’ (P, P-M, M)</td>
<td>451.6 (92.7)</td>
<td>0.206</td>
<td>156.8 (29.7)</td>
<td>16.1 (7.2)</td>
<td>43.1 (15.8)</td>
<td>68.4 (6.3)</td>
<td>5.4 (2.4)</td>
<td>7.9 (0.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Radius**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar (n/mm²)</th>
<th>Spatial het</th>
<th>CFO</th>
<th>WMGL</th>
<th>On.N/T.Ar (n/mm²)</th>
<th>On.Ar/T.Ar</th>
<th>Ash (%)</th>
<th>Porosity (%)</th>
<th>Cort. Th. mm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cr ‘tension’</td>
<td>478.2 (137.3)</td>
<td>0.287</td>
<td>89.5 (24.6)</td>
<td>9.4 (5.2)</td>
<td>26.8 (15.2)</td>
<td>68.3 (1.2)</td>
<td>4.4 (2.0)</td>
<td>8.2 (1.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd ‘compression’</td>
<td>522.1 (152.4)</td>
<td>0.291</td>
<td>134.3 (32.7)</td>
<td>18.1 (4.5)</td>
<td>53.7 (12.0)</td>
<td>68.0 (0.8)</td>
<td>4.9 (1.7)</td>
<td>6.7 (0.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>512.7 (129.0)</td>
<td>0.251</td>
<td>82.4 (22.9)</td>
<td>8.5 (4.9)</td>
<td>26.5 (17.4)</td>
<td>68.4 (1.2)</td>
<td>5.2 (1.7)</td>
<td>10.2 (2.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>532.1 (124.9)</td>
<td>0.235</td>
<td>83.6 (29.8)</td>
<td>8.3 (4.1)</td>
<td>24.9 (13.8)</td>
<td>68.8 (1.0)</td>
<td>4.9 (2.5)</td>
<td>8.8 (1.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Spatial het = Spatial heterogeneity of osteocyte lacunae; WMGL = weighted mean gray level; Cort. Th. = cortical thickness; C = compression; D = dorsal; D-L = dorsal-lateral; L = lateral; P-L = palmar-lateral; P = palmar; P-M = palmar-medial; M = medial; D-M = dorsal-medial; with the exception of spatial het, the data are shown as means and standard deviations.

**Table 2.** $p$ values for MC3 ‘tension’ versus ‘compression’ comparisons for Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar and spatial heterogeneity of osteocyte lacunae

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>T1</th>
<th>T2</th>
<th>C1</th>
<th>C2</th>
<th>C3</th>
<th>C4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>&gt;0.5</td>
<td>&gt;0.5</td>
<td>&gt;0.5</td>
<td>&gt;0.5</td>
<td>&gt;0.5</td>
<td>&gt;0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>&gt;0.5</td>
<td>&gt;0.5</td>
<td>&gt;0.5</td>
<td>&gt;0.5</td>
<td>&gt;0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>&gt;0.5</td>
<td>&gt;0.5</td>
<td>&gt;0.5</td>
<td>&gt;0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>&gt;0.5</td>
<td>&gt;0.5</td>
<td>&gt;0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>&gt;0.5</td>
<td>&gt;0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>&gt;0.5</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The right upper part represents $p$ values for spatial heterogeneity of osteocyte lacunae, the left lower part the $p$ values for Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar.

‘Tension’ (T) and ‘compression’ (C) areas: T1 = D, D-L, L; T2 = D-L, L; C1 = P-L, P, P-M, M, D-M; C2 = P-L, P, P-M, M; C3 = P-L, P, P-M; C4 = P, P-M, M.

D = Dorsal; P = palmar; M = medial; L = lateral.

### Results

**Equine MC3**

In the equine MC3s, comparisons of octant data, i.e. combined region data (pericortical + middle cortical + endocortical within each octant), demonstrated only a few statistically significant differences: (1) 23.5–25.4% higher Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar in the dorsal cortex than in the pal-
mar-lateral, palmar and palmar-medial cortices (p < 0.001), and (2) approximately 24% higher Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar in the dorsal-medial cortex than in the palmar-lateral, palmar and palmar-medial cortices (p < 0.001). These differences, ranging from 425.1/mm² to 532.9/mm², correspond to a difference in Lc-Lc distance of approximately 8 µm (fig. 3). There were no statistically significant differences (all p > 0.3) in coefficients of variation (i.e., estimates of spatial heterogeneity of osteocyte lacunae) in octant comparisons (fig. 3).

Regional comparisons within each octant (i.e., all possible pericortical vs. middle cortical vs. endocortical comparisons at each octant) showed no statistically significant differences (fig. 4). The largest difference shown by these data corresponded to an estimated Lc-Lc distance of approximately 13 µm, reflecting an average Lc-Lc distance range from 62 to 75 µm. Comparisons of spatial heterogeneity using all octant data showed that the endocortical region had generally lower heterogeneity than the middle cortical and endocortical regions (p = 0.01 and 0.004, respectively).

In ‘tension’ area versus ‘compression’ area comparisons, Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar was on average 8.4% higher (range 2.4–15.8%) in ‘tension’ than in ‘compression’ (tables 1, 2). These comparisons were statistically significant in all of the comparisons that used dorsal, dorsal-lateral and
lateral locations as the ‘tension’ area (on average 10.7% higher). In contrast, data in horse radii (see below) show significantly lower Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar in the ‘tension’ area than in the ‘compression’ area. Only one of the four comparisons was significant when dorsal-lateral and lateral locations were used as the ‘tension’ area: dorsal-lateral and lateral was 11.1% higher than palmar-lateral, palmar and palmar-medial (p < 0.01); otherwise, Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar in the dorsal-lateral and lateral ‘tension’ area was slightly, but not significantly, higher (approximately 6%) than in the other ‘compression’ areas (p ≤ 0.08). In these various comparisons, the differences in corresponding Lc-Lc distances were on the order of 1–5 μm. Lack of significant differences in spatial heterogeneity between ‘tension’ and ‘compression’ area comparisons (table 1) also reject the hypothesis that prevalent lamellar osteons in the ‘compression’ area would be associated with lower spatial heterogeneity.

A previous study of these equine MC3s [Skedros et al., 1996] demonstrated that compared with the palmar-lateral, palmar and palmar-medial ‘compression’ area, the dorsal, dorsal-lateral and lateral ‘tension’ area contained an average of 15% higher On.N/T.Ar (p = 0.04), 8% higher On.Ar/T.Ar (p < 0.001) and 19% greater porosity (p = 0.04). In most cortical octants, there are no clear trends in transcortical porosity or On.N/T.Ar. Furthermore, there were no significant regional differences in osteon mean cross-sectional areas and the lateral (‘tension’) cortex had significantly more longitudinal collagen fibers than the other octant locations: 18.3% higher weighted mean gray level in the palmar-lateral, palmar and palmar-medial ‘compression’ area versus the dorsal, dorsal-lateral and lateral ‘tension’ area (p < 0.001). The palmar cortices (palmar-lateral, palmar and palmar-medial) were thinner (p < 0.01) and had 4% lower percent ash (p < 0.05) than the other locations.

The palmar-lateral cortex in this quadrant had significantly more longitudinal collagen fibers than the other locations, and the palmar-medial cortex was significantly more longitudinal than the palmar-lateral cortex.

Analyses of Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar, spatial heterogeneity of osteocyte lacunae, and each of the structural (i.e. cortical thickness) and material characteristics revealed only two comparisons with a correlation coefficient greater than 0.400: (1) CFO versus Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar (r = 0.408, p < 0.0001), and (2) spatial heterogeneity versus On.Ar/T.Ar (r = 0.511, p = 0.01). The only correlations between material and mechanical parameters that exceeded 0.500 included moderate positive correlations between predominant CFO and normal strain (which considers strain mode) (r = 0.752), CFO and shear strain (r = 0.555), and CFO and summed strain energy density (r = 0.579) (table 3). As shown in table 3, Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar and spatial heterogeneity of osteocyte lacunae exhibited either no, or poor, correlations with the mechanical parameters.

**Equine Radius**

In the equine radii, a three-way ANOVA showed no statistically significant differences in Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar between the 50 and 65% sections (p = 0.20) and among all possible comparisons among the 12 regions (p = 0.34). A two-way ANOVA (quadrant, region) demonstrated that bone quadrant (Cr, Cd, medial, lateral) is the only parameter that demonstrated statistically significant differences (p < 0.05): (1) 9.2% higher Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar in the Cd ‘compression’ cortex than in the Cr ‘tension’ cortex (in the MC3s, the ‘compression’ area had lower Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar than the ‘tension’ area), and (2) 11.3% higher in the lateral cortex (p < 0.01) when compared with the Cr cortex (p < 0.05) (fig. 5). The medial cortex had higher Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar than the Cr cortex, but this was a statistical tendency (p = 0.1). Although a statistically significant differ-
ence in Lc-Lc distance (p < 0.0001) was observed between Cr and Cd cortices, this difference in distances is on the order of 2–3 μm. There were no statistically significant transcortical Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar differences (fig. 6). There were few significant differences in spatial heterogeneity of osteocyte lacunae in quadrants (fig. 5): Cd versus medial (p < 0.01), Cr versus lateral (p = 0.05), and Cr versus medial (p < 0.01).

Our previous study [Mason et al., 1995] showed that the Cd ‘compression’ cortex of horse radii had 104% higher On.N/T.Ar (8.7/mm² vs. 18.1/mm²; p < 0.001) and 108% higher On.Ar/T.Ar (26.0 vs. 56.4%; p < 0.001) than the other quadrants. However, data from the present study reject the hypothesis that lamellar osteons in the ‘compression’ area are associated with lower spatial heterogeneity of osteocyte lacunae than the parallel-fibered osteons in the ‘tension’ area.

Regional (i.e. transcortical) comparisons within cortical quadrants showed no consistent pericortical-to-endocortical variations in On.N/T.Ar or On.Ar/T.Ar, and os-

**Fig. 5.** Equine radii: histograms of Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar, estimated Lc-Lc 3-dimensional distances, and spatial heterogeneity (het) of osteocyte lacunae in quadrant locations. Numbers above bars indicate estimated mean Lc-Lc distance in microns. The data for Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar are shown as means and standard deviations.

**Fig. 6.** Equine radii: histograms showing Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar in octant ‘regions’ (pericortical, middle, endocortical). The data are shown as means and standard deviations.
teon mean cross-sectional areas were typically slightly larger in the endocortical region than in the middle and pericortical regions. There were no significant porosity differences between the cortical quadrants; however, within each quadrant, the endocortical region was more porous than the middle or pericortical regions. Analysis of predominant CFO showed more oblique-to-transverse fibers in the Cd 'compression' cortex and more longitudinal fibers in the Cr 'tension' cortex (p < 0.01), but there were no statistically significant differences between the intracortical regions within these locations, or between the medial and lateral cortices.

There were several statistically significant differences in cortical thickness, but some of these differences were minor (table 1): (1) the Cr cortex was 22.3% thicker than the Cd cortex (p < 0.01), (2) the lateral cortex was 31.3% thicker than theCd cortex (p < 0.01), and (3) the medial cortex was 15.9% thicker than the lateral cortex, 52.2% thicker than the Cd cortex and 24.3% thicker than the Cr cortex (all p values <0.001). The percent ash data showed only minor variations (1–2% differences) between the cortical quadrants.

Analyses of Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar or spatial heterogeneity data with cortical thickness, material characteristics and strain parameters showed only two correlation coefficients exceeding |0.500|: (1) spatial heterogeneity versus On.N/T.Ar (r = 0.590, p = 0.04), and (2) spatial heterogeneity versus On.Ar/T.Ar (r = 0.539, p = 0.07).

Discussion

Diaphyses of equine MC3s and radii exhibit regional morphologic heterogeneities that appear to be specifically adapted for their non-uniform strain distributions [Boyle and Riggs, 1990; Riggs et al., 1993; Mason et al., 1995; Skedros et al., 1996b; Reilly and Currey, 1999; Batson et al., 2000]. CFO is the characteristic that has been most consistently correlated with the 'tension/compression' distributions in these and other bones that experience habitual bending [Marotti, 1963; Lanyon and Bourn, 1979; Bouvier and Hylander, 1981; Portigliatti Barbos et al., 1984; Riggs et al., 1993; Skedros, 1994a; Skedros et al., 1994b, 1996, 1997; Takano et al., 1999; Skedros, 2001a; Skedros et al., 2001c; Kalmey and Lovejoy, 2002; Skedros et al., 2003b, 2004b]. Correlations of CFO with On.N/T.Ar might reflect osteon-mediated formation of these regional CFO variations. We hypothesized that by being linked with On.N/T.Ar, Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar would also be correlated with CFO. However, this was not the case; only in the MC3 was CFO correlated (weakly, r = 0.408) with Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar, and there were no correlations with On.N/T.Ar in either bone. Furthermore, Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar inconsistently/poorly correlated with local strain-related characteristics (including strain magnitude and mode) and structural/material heterogeneity (including On.Ar and cortical thickness). As discussed below, approximations of regional Lc-Lc distances suggest that even in the few instances where statistically significant differences in Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar and/or the spatial heterogeneity of lacunae were observed, these variations are not biomechanically significant. Our data are also considered in the context of literature reviews of osteocyte intercellular communication, microdamage detection and metabolism/nutrition requirements.

This study has several possible limitations. We were unable to determine osteocyte viability, which could confound some of our interpretations, especially if there are significant regional differences in cell viability. For example, Power et al. [2001] reported 50–70% lacunar occupancy in cortical bone from femoral neck cortices of elderly human females. These data are consistent with earlier studies showing that the percentage of empty lacunae increases with age in human bones [Frost, 1960; Baud and Auil, 1971; Wong et al., 1985; Wong et al., 1987; Mullender et al. 1996a, 1996b]. Consequently, the age-related decline in osteocyte density is probably more pronounced than the age-related decline in lacuna density [Vashishth et al., 2000]. However, our specimens were from relatively young adults, and our observations did not reveal regions with lacunae that were plugged with hypermineralized tissue, which has been associated with aging, ischemia or necrosis [Frost, 1960; Currey, 1964; Kornblum and Kelly, 1964; Jowsey, 1966; Stout and Simmons, 1979; Parfitt, 1993a]. These observations and the estimated 'younger' ages of our animals suggest that the percentage of dead osteocytes is small; this is consistent with observations of >90% lacunar occupancy in the dorsal cortex of MC3s from Thoroughbred and Quarter horses similar in age to those used in the present study [Gómez et al., 2005; P. Muir, pers. commun.].

Regional Cell-Cell or Cell-Matrix Adaptations without Remodeling or Modeling

Similar to Marotti et al. [1990], Marotti [1996, 2000] and Metz et al. [2003], other investigators have considered the possibility that osteocytes form a repressive network that downregulates bone remodeling [Noble and Reeve, 2000; Heino et al., 2002; Power et al., 2002; Qiu et al., 2002b]. Data in the present study suggest that the osteocytic repression of resorption, and other mecha-
sensory functions attributed to osteocytes, if present and if significantly different between the locations examined, are not accompanied with regional adjustments in their densities. This conclusion seems to be supported by a study by Robling and Turner [2002] who examined Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar in three inbred strains of mice with significant differences in mechanosensitivity. Two strains of mice had significantly different Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar but nearly identical mechanosensitivity, and a third strain with similar Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar to one of these other strains had lower mechanosensitivity. They concluded that osteocyte densities are not correlated with mechanosensitivity. In contrast, in a study correlating Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar with age-related microdamage prevalence in human femoral diaphyses, Vashishth et al. [2000] suggested that mechanosensitivity and Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar are correlated.

These conflicting conclusions can be reconciled by the possibility that a habitual strain milieu, even if highly non-uniform, might not be sufficient for requiring regional adjustments in Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar as a means for modifying the ‘sensitivity’ of the osteocyte mechanosensory network. It has been suggested that regional cell-cell or cell-matrix accommodations for the ambient strain milieu might be achieved without evoking remodelling/modeling or changing osteocyte densities [Pavalko et al., 1998; Rubin et al., 2002]. For example, Rubin et al. [2002, p. 264] state that ‘… the osteocyte is capable of “normalizing” [sic] its local mechanical environment by modulating its cytoskeletal architecture, attachment to the matrix, configuration of the periosteocytic space, and communication channels to surrounding cells’. Other forms of ‘communication’ such as paracrine signaling or canaliculi fluid pressure generation in the contiguous lacunocanalicular fluid space might be employed in establishing communicating cellular networks rather than by direct intercellular responses of osteocytes to mechanical stresses [Knothe Tate, 2003]. But even these are likely linked to tissue deformation [Weinbaum et al., 1994, 2001; Ehrlich et al., 2002]. Additionally, as suggested by Srinivasan and Gross [2000], it is possible that regional variations in load-related fluid flow dynamics can have important effects on osteocyte physiology, which further confounds interpretations linking osteocyte densities to local biomechanics. In view of these possibilities, it is suggested that either (1) the heterogeneous material organization and relatively uniform osteocyte densities of the equine radii and MC3s reflect the achievement of tissue organizations that are adequate for both cell-matrix and cell-cell interactions, or (2) the cell densities are not adequate for mechanobiological functions; hence, regional cell level ‘adaptations’ are present.

Social/Communication Functions: Wiring Transmission versus Volume Transmission

Marotti [2000] has suggested that intercellular communication between osteocytes can occur via ‘wiring transmission’, similar to interneuronal signals. Turner et al. [2002] suggest that, analogous to neuronal systems, communicating cellular networks in bone (i.e. osteocytes, osteoblasts and bone-lining cells) exhibit basic properties of short- and long-term memory. Such cellular communication could be responsible for the different adaptations or sensitivities to mechanical stress observed between different bones of the same animal and between different parts of the same bone. This may occur via desensitization mechanisms that dampen communication between cells subjected to repetitive mechanical loading. Conversely, bone cell populations could become sensitized to signals by mechanisms similar to those that cause neuronal sensitization. In view of our results, these ‘neurologic’ capacities, even if present, are probably not influenced by regional variations in osteocyte density.

In contrast to wiring transmission, ‘volume transmission’ (which is not dependent on osteocytic intercellular communication) is more strongly influenced by paracrine/autocrine stimulation [Marotti, 2000; Palumbo et al., 2004]. Consequently, hypothesized osteocytic ‘volume/population’ responses are not necessarily dependent on cell density and are probably not significantly perturbed by microdamage [Tami et al., 2002]. For example, volume transmission may explain osteocyte responses in experimental and normal loading of adult rat ulnae in studies measuring the presence of estrogen receptor-α in osteocytes [Ehrlich et al., 2002]. The distribution of cells expressing estrogen receptor-α was uniform across all sections – not reflecting the non-uniform local peak strain magnitudes. They suggest that because ‘… osteocytes appeared to respond in concert to the strain-related stimulus this suggests that they communicate and act together as a population, rather than as individual strain-responsive cells’. However, an important role for wiring transmission cannot be precluded. Donahue [2000] also notes that since only a small percentage (approximately 30%) of osteoblastic cells in a cell ensemble respond to hormones or growth factors (e.g., parathyroid hormone), on an individual basis, they can communicate this response to other cells via gap junctions such that the ensemble response is greater than the sum of the response of individual cells. Additional studies are needed to determine the relative importance of ‘wiring transmission’ and ‘volume transmission’.
Osteocytic ‘Operational’ Networks for Microdamage Detection: Associations with Strain Mode and Strain Gradients

The possibility that osteocyte lacunae may be stress concentrators for microdamage formation has long been speculated, and this relationship may increase with age or excessive exercise [Currey, 1962; Prendergast and Huiskes, 1995; McCreadie and Hollister, 1997; Nicolella et al., 1997; Reilly, 2000; Kim et al., 2004]. For example, Reilly’s [2000] confocal microscopic observations of tangential beams of diaphyseal cortices of bovine, equine and human limb bones loaded in tension and bending showed that microdamage appears to initiate at, or in close association with, osteocyte lacunae. However, Reilly [2000, p. 1131] noted that in ‘… previous microdamage investigations only compression cracking has been shown to be influenced by [osteocyte] lacunae [Carter and Hayes, 1976]’. Thus, habitual compression environments may be where modifications in Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar are most beneficial. In view of these observations, we hypothesized that Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar in equine radii and MC3s would exhibit strain mode/magnitude differences between their ‘tension’, ‘compression’ and ‘shear’ (neutral axis) cortices. In turn, it seemed plausible that osteocyte densities could differ between regions habitually exposed to different strain modes, reflecting ‘sensor’ densities required for monitoring corresponding differences in microdamage formation. This speculation was based on a study of human femoral diaphyses (mean age approximately 43 years) where Vashishth et al. [2000] proposed that a minimum number of osteocytes are required for an ‘operational’ network that detects, and hence prevents, large increases in microcrack density. This is also consistent with the idea that osteocytes play an important role in the targeted detection of microdamage [Martin, 2000; Verborgt et al., 2000; Tami et al., 2002; Martin, 2003; Qiu et al., 2005].

These hypotheses are indirectly supported by studies showing that microdamage incidence and/or morphology in fatigue-loaded bone are highly correlated with strain magnitude and mode. For example, in strain-mode-specific loading (e.g., compression testing of bone from a habitually compression-loaded region), Reilly [2000] examined in vivo-loaded rat ulnae that were loaded high enough to cause permanent deformation. En bloc fluorescein staining and confocal microscopy revealed diffuse damage composed of arrays of tiny (<10 μm) microcracks in the lateral cortex, which was loaded in tension (its habitual loading mode). Reilly and Currey [1999] mechanically tested tangential beam specimens from Cr (‘tension’) and Cd (‘compression’) cortices of a radius of an 11-year-old Thoroughbred. All specimens were loaded in four-point bending. En bloc fluorescein staining and confocal microscopy showed that (1) tension microcracks first appeared at a strain of approximately 0.004 compared to compression at 0.008–0.010, (2) specimens from the Cd ‘compression’ cortex showed little compression microcracking, and such microcracks were small and diffuse (these specimens first failed in tension on the tension side of the beam), and (3) specimens from the Cr ‘tension’ cortex showed comparatively more numerous compression microcracks, which were longer and less diffuse (these specimens initially failed in compression on the compression side of the beam). Typically, compression microcracks were tiny, on the order of 5 μm. However, unlike tension microcracks, which seemed to be limited to tens of microns, many compression microcracks were very long, on the order of several hundred microns. Reilly and Currey [1999, p 551] concluded that:

… Bone can be adapted to be better at resisting the growth of one type of microcrack (either tension or compression) but that this then causes it to be bad at resisting the other type. Therefore, it is better for bone to be loaded in one mode only, so that it can adapt histologically to that mode.

In view of these findings, we hypothesized that a consistent tension/compression/shear distribution across a bone cross-section may, by introducing regional strain magnitude/mode-related microdamage, ultimately contribute to regional differences in remodeling-mediated heterogeneity (e.g., On.N/T.Ar, osteon orientation, predominant CFO) that occurs during the ontogenetic development of equine MC3s and radii, and other limb bones [Stover et al., 1992; Riggs et al., 1993; Skedros and Kuo, 1999; Skedros et al., 2002; Skedros and Hunt, 2004a; Skedros et al., 2004b]. In turn, we speculated that regional variations in Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar would be linked with these ‘histologic adaptations’. However, the MC3s and radii exhibited opposite Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar differences in ‘tension’ versus ‘compression’ comparisons. As discussed in a companion study of regional Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar variations in artiodactyl and perissodactyl calcanei [Skedros, 2005], this difference may represent ‘adaptations’ in the relatively simple bending environment of the radius that are obscured by tissue adaptations of the more complex torsion/bending environment of the MC3.

It is also possible that normal maturation-related remodeling progressively curbs the occurrence of microdamage, reducing the hypothesized regional variations in Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar. Remodeling can restrict further microdamage formation, growth or accumulation by introducing in-
terfaces and improving the matrix in other ways (e.g., CFO realignment, osteocyte repopulation and/or adjusting relative percentages of osteon morphotypes), which can modify microdamage initiation, propagation and arrest [Martin et al., 1998; Hiller et al., 2003]. This process has been described as progressive ‘toughening’, and there are data supporting the suggestion that this normally occurs during skeletal ontogeny [Reilly et al., 1997; Skedros et al., 2004b; Sobelman et al., 2004; Nalla et al., 2005].

Preferential resorption of bone, and repopulation of cortical osteocytes, may produce the clustering of osteocytes, which can increase their spatial heterogeneity [Vashishth et al., 2000]. In femoral neck cortices of elderly human females (mean age 82.2 ± 3 years), Power et al. [2002] have also shown higher Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar in resorbing and forming osteons compared with quiescent osteons. If this relationship is typical of cortical remodeling, then the elimination of microdamage would produce regions with relatively lower Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar. This possibility might be reflected by our data showing moderate positive correlations between On.Ar/T.Ar and spatial heterogeneity of osteocyte lacunae (MC3s: r = 0.511, p = 0.01; radii: r = 0.539, p = 0.07). Consequently, even if Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar initially correlated with a prevalent/predominant strain mode/magnitude in these bones, remodeling-mediated osteocyte repopulation of the cortex may obscure such associations.

An important point to consider here is that neither strain mode nor strain magnitude may be driving forces behind the organization and distribution of osteocytes within the bone matrix, and that other biomechanical factors may be involved. Specifically, it has been hypothesized that strain gradients regulate the osteogenic response to increased loading that accompanies increased physical activity [Gross et al., 1997; Judex et al., 1997b]. As noted earlier, changes in fluid flow within the lacunocanalicular system of bone regulate not only osteocyte viability but also the osteogenic signals generated by osteocytes (fig. 7). Strain gradients generate pressure differentials within bone, and these pressure differentials increase fluid movement within the lacunocanalicular network [Gross et al., 1997]. If the percentage of osteoblasts that differentiate to form osteocytes is held constant, then osteocyte density should be proportional to the bone formation rate. Experimental data indicate that activation of mineralizing bone surfaces is correlated with strain gradients [Judex et al., 1997b; Srinivasan and Gross, 2000], which suggests that areas of high strain gradients would also be associated with increased bone formation rates and hence osteocyte densities. Areas of high circumferential strain gradients often do not correspond to areas of peak strain, and in fact, peak strain gradients are frequently located nearest the neutral axis of bending [Judex et al., 1997]. It is worth noting here that in the case of the equine MC3, the highest values for Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar are in the dorsal, dorsal-medial and dorsal-lateral regions, which also happen to be closest to the neutral axes (fig. 1, 3). Likewise, the highest values for Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar in the equine radius are in the lateral region, also closest to the neutral axes. Clearly, additional data on strain gradients within the cortices of the equine MC3 and radius are needed to confirm these hypotheses; however, the data presented herein indicate that although Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar does not always track specific patterns of strain-mode-specific loading, osteocyte densities may correspond to areas of high circumferential strain gradients.

Mechanical Influences of Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar

Results of an analytical study [Yeni et al., 2001] suggest that Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar can significantly influence the apparent stiffness of the bone matrix (‘matrix’ refers to hard tissue containing lacunae without microstructural pores such as central canals); however, the differences were small within the physiologic Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar range. Our recent ex vivo mechanical testing studies of mature equine MC3 diaphyses show that Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar can have important influences on bone mechanical properties in strain-mode-specific testing [Skedros et al., 2001b, 2003c; unpubl. data]. For example, in strain-mode-specific compression tests, Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar was, among several characteristics (percent ash, porosity, mean osteon cross-sectional areas, On.N/T.Ar and CFO), the first or second most important in explaining observed variation in stiffness (Young’s elastic modulus), yield and ultimate stress, as well as pre-yield (elastic) energy absorption. In strain-mode-specific testing of dorsal (‘compression’) cortices of mule deer calcanei, Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar was the third most important histocompositional characteristic in explaining variance in these three mechanical parameters [Skedros et al., 2003b; unpubl. data]. Additionally, in these deer calcanei and equine MC3s, the correlations of Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar with stiffness, yield and ultimate stress, and elastic energy were negative, suggesting that osteocyte lacunae may have a significant role as stress concentrators in these ex vivo compression tests. Fatigue testing and more rigorous fracture toughness testing may reveal additional important and more physiologically relevant roles for Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar in the mechanical behavior of cortical bone.
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Osteocyte density may be directly proportional to metabolic rate, i.e., animals with a higher metabolism, and hence in greater need for immediate mineral access, would have higher osteocyte densities [Mullender et al., 1996a; Cullinane, 2002]. In this context, we speculated that endocortical regions showing increased remodeling activity would exhibit relatively higher Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar. Mullender et al. [1996a], reporting data generally supporting this idea, compared osteocyte densities in trabecular bone of femoral heads of adult animals of five different species (rat, rabbit, monkey, pig, cow) and found that osteocyte density negatively correlated with body size. They suggest that the metabolic rate might be an important determinant of osteocyte density since body size is negatively correlated with the basal metabolic rate. However, Remaggi et al. [1998] did not find a similar relationship in cortical bone of mature limb bone diaphyses of various species. They examined tibiae in humans and dogs (n = 3 each), femora in frogs, chicks, and rabbits (n = 3 each), and MC3s in horses and cows (n = 3 each) [F. Remaggi, pers. corr.].
does not significantly change extracellular Ca levels, and may be the most significant exchange mechanism, but isoionic exchange of bone Ca for extracellular Ca, which [Heaney, 2003]; (2) al., 2004], in most cases has a minor role compared with [Aarden et al., 1994; Rodionova et al., 2002; Tazawa et al., 2000; Qiu et al., 2002a] on inactive surfaces in response to Ca-regulating hormones. Nevertheless, mechanisms for mobilizing Ca across quiescent surfaces are probably only important for short-term Ca mobilization (e.g., on the scale of hours, such as between meals) and not for high demand or long-term needs that require bone remodeling to mobilize relatively large amounts of Ca [Bowman and Miller, 2001; Heaney, 2003]. Therefore, the remodeling process appears to be the major means for accessing Ca in bone, and osteocytes probably do not play a pivotal role in mineral homeostasis.

Scaling Effects of Fatigue Strength and Bone Robusticity on Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar and Histologic Variations

Larger structures are more prone to failure than small structures. An explanation for this difference is that failures are initiated at flaws in the material of a structure, and larger volumes are more likely to contain a significant flaw [Martin, 2003]. Taylor [2000], applying this to bone, argues that large structures are more prone to fatigue failure than smaller structures made of the same material. This implies that bones in larger animals cannot withstand as much stress in daily use as bones in smaller animals. Hence, a bone from a large animal would be comparatively weaker, with the stress concentrator effect of lacunae also contributing disproportionately. Taylor [2000] suggests that this paradox is resolved by ‘enhancing’ bone material in larger animals. Fatigue-related requirements are therefore important for understanding the biomechanical bases for variations in Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar and other histomorphologic characteristics between different ‘stressed volumes’ of bones of small and large animals. This interpretation may help to explain such differences within/between bones of the same limb (e.g., between thin/thick cortices or gracile/robust bones)[Skedros et al., 2003a, 2004b]. However, our results in equine radii showing minor Cr-Cd cortical thickness differences suggest that differences in ‘stressed volumes’ cannot account per se for their histological differences. Consequently, it is not clear if Taylor’s explanation works for different ‘volumes’ within the same cross-section of a bone. Stud-
Table 4. Osteocyte and Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar literature review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Bone</th>
<th>Cortical/cancellous</th>
<th>Histology</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Section thickness μm</th>
<th>Method/ stain</th>
<th>Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar n/mm²</th>
<th>2-D Le.Lc distance μm</th>
<th>3-D Le.Lc distance μm</th>
<th>Percent occupancy</th>
<th>Cells/Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Homo sapiens</em></td>
<td>fetal</td>
<td>mandible</td>
<td>cortical 1°</td>
<td>unv</td>
<td>40–200</td>
<td>Lm.</td>
<td></td>
<td>825</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>unk</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Singh et al.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Homo sapiens</em></td>
<td>adult</td>
<td>mandible</td>
<td>cortical 2°</td>
<td>unv</td>
<td>40–200</td>
<td>Lm.</td>
<td></td>
<td>550</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>unk</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>and Howe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Rattus rattus</em></td>
<td>young</td>
<td>mandible</td>
<td>cortical 1°</td>
<td>unv</td>
<td>40–200</td>
<td>Lm.</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,025</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>unk</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>[1971]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Rattus rattus</em></td>
<td>old</td>
<td>mandible</td>
<td>cortical 1°</td>
<td>unv</td>
<td>40–200</td>
<td>Lm.</td>
<td></td>
<td>850</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>unk</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>[1971]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Loxodontia africana</em></td>
<td>fetal</td>
<td>mandible</td>
<td>cortical 1°</td>
<td>unv</td>
<td>40–200</td>
<td>Lm.</td>
<td></td>
<td>850</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>unk</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>[1971]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Trichebus manatus</em></td>
<td>fetal</td>
<td>mandible</td>
<td>cortical 1°</td>
<td>unv</td>
<td>40–200</td>
<td>Lm.</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>unk</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>[1971]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Canius familiaris</em></td>
<td>fetal</td>
<td>mandible</td>
<td>cortical 1°</td>
<td>unv</td>
<td>40–200</td>
<td>Lm.</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>unk</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>[1971]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Manis temmincki</em></td>
<td>fetal</td>
<td>mandible</td>
<td>cortical 2°</td>
<td>unv</td>
<td>40–200</td>
<td>Lm.</td>
<td></td>
<td>575</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>unk</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>[1971]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Calotes</em></td>
<td>fetal</td>
<td>long bones</td>
<td>cortical 1°</td>
<td>diaphyses</td>
<td>40–200</td>
<td>Lm.</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,350</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>unk</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>[1971]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Lacertidae</em></td>
<td>fetal</td>
<td>long bones</td>
<td>cortical 1°</td>
<td>diaphyses</td>
<td>40–200</td>
<td>Lm.</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,225</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>unk</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>[1971]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Iguana iguana</em></td>
<td>fetal</td>
<td>long bones</td>
<td>cortical 1°</td>
<td>diaphyses</td>
<td>40–200</td>
<td>Lm.</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,225</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>unk</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>[1971]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Agama stellio</em></td>
<td>fetal</td>
<td>long bones</td>
<td>cortical 1°</td>
<td>diaphyses</td>
<td>40–200</td>
<td>Lm.</td>
<td></td>
<td>975</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>unk</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>[1971]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. senodactylus</em></td>
<td>fetal</td>
<td>long bones</td>
<td>cortical 1°</td>
<td>diaphyses</td>
<td>40–200</td>
<td>Lm.</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>unk</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>[1971]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Varanus sp.</em></td>
<td>fetal</td>
<td>long bones</td>
<td>cortical 1°</td>
<td>diaphyses</td>
<td>40–200</td>
<td>Lm.</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,050</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>unk</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>[1971]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Varens niloticus</em></td>
<td>fetal</td>
<td>long bones</td>
<td>cortical 1°</td>
<td>diaphyses</td>
<td>40–200</td>
<td>Lm.</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,175</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>unk</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>[1971]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Anolis</em></td>
<td>fetal</td>
<td>long bones</td>
<td>cortical 1°</td>
<td>diaphyses</td>
<td>40–200</td>
<td>Lm.</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,225</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>unk</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>[1971]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Caimen sclerops</em></td>
<td>fetal</td>
<td>long bones</td>
<td>cortical 1°</td>
<td>diaphyses</td>
<td>40–200</td>
<td>Lm.</td>
<td></td>
<td>975</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>unk</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>[1971]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Lissemys punctata</em></td>
<td>fetal</td>
<td>long bones</td>
<td>cortical 1°</td>
<td>diaphyses</td>
<td>40–200</td>
<td>Lm.</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,650</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>unk</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>[1971]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Gopherus berlandieri</em></td>
<td>fetal</td>
<td>long bones</td>
<td>cortical 1°</td>
<td>diaphyses</td>
<td>40–200</td>
<td>Lm.</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,175</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>unk</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>[1971]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Testudo pardalis</em></td>
<td>fetal</td>
<td>long bones</td>
<td>cortical 1°</td>
<td>diaphyses</td>
<td>40–200</td>
<td>Lm.</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,350</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>unk</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>[1971]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Terapene carolina</em></td>
<td>adult</td>
<td>long bones</td>
<td>cortical 2°</td>
<td>diaphyses</td>
<td>40–200</td>
<td>Lm.</td>
<td></td>
<td>800</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>unk</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>[1971]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pythonidae</em></td>
<td>fetal</td>
<td>long bones</td>
<td>cortical 1°</td>
<td>diaphyses</td>
<td>40–200</td>
<td>Lm.</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,350</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>unk</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>[1971]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Sphenodon</em></td>
<td>adult</td>
<td>long bones</td>
<td>cortical 2°</td>
<td>diaphyses</td>
<td>40–200</td>
<td>Lm.</td>
<td></td>
<td>775</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>unk</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>[1971]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data were tabulated in orders and families only.

**Rodentia**
- immature: rib, tib, fem cortical 1° mid-diaphyses 10 Lm./h&e 113 94 133 >96 c, l Singh et al. [1974]
- marsupialia: rib, tib, fem cortical 1° mid-diaphyses 10 Lm./h&e 122 91 128 >96 c, l [1974]

**Carnivora**
- felidae: rib, tib, fem cortical 1° mid-diaphyses 10 Lm./h&e 252 63 89 ~78 c, l
- canidae: rib, tib, fem cortical 1° mid-diaphyses 10 Lm./h&e 235 65 92 ~72 c, l
- mustelidae: rib, tib, fem cortical 1° mid-diaphyses 10 Lm./h&e 160 79 112 ~95 c, l

**Primate**
- pongidae: adult rib, tib, fem cortical 1° mid-diaphyses 10 Lm./h&e 102 99 140 ~90 c, l
- cercopithecidae: newborn rib, tib, fem cortical 1° and 2° mid-diaphyses 10 Lm./h&e 200 71 100 ~97 c, l
- lemuridae: rib, tib, fem cortical 1° mid-diaphyses 10 Lm./h&e 212 69 97 >98 c, l
- cebidae: immature rib, tib, fem cortical 1° and 2° mid-diaphyses 10 Lm./h&e 182 74 105 ~78 c, l
- hominidae: 40–60 yrs rib, tib, fem cortical 1° mid-diaphyses 10 Lm./h&e 250 63 90 ~68 c, l

**Dog**
- 18, 24, 32 mo femur cortical 2° mid-diaphyses 20–30 Lm. 301 61 86 unk 1
- 18, 24, 32 mo tibia cortical 2° mid-diaphyses 20–30 Lm. 320 51 72 unk 1
- 18, 24, 32 mo humerus cortical 2° mid-diaphyses 20–30 Lm. 363 52 74 unk 1

**Frog**
- 18, 24, 32 mo unimembranous rib, tib, fem cortical 1° mid-diaphyses 30–40 Lm. 1,290 28 39 unk 1
- 18, 24, 32 mo unimembranous rib, tib, fem cortical 2° mid-diaphyses 30–40 Lm. 1,290 28 39 unk 1

**Reference**

Singh et al.
Hobdell
and Howe
Canè et al.
Marotti. et al.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Bone</th>
<th>Cortical/cancellous</th>
<th>Histology</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Section thickness μm</th>
<th>Method/ stain</th>
<th>Ot-Lc/N 2-D distance μm</th>
<th>Ot-Lc/N 3-D distance μm</th>
<th>Percent occupancy Cells or lacunae</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dolphin</td>
<td>unk</td>
<td>unk limb</td>
<td>cortical 2°</td>
<td>mid-diap</td>
<td>30–40</td>
<td>30–40</td>
<td>L.m.</td>
<td>875</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>48 ung 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dolphin</td>
<td>unk</td>
<td>unk limb</td>
<td>cortical 2°</td>
<td>mid-diap</td>
<td>30–40</td>
<td>30–40</td>
<td>L.m.</td>
<td>1,400</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>48 ung 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This value, used in a computational analysis, was estimated (methods not stated) from Canè et al. [1982] and Marotti et al. [1990] 35 Weinbaum et al. [1994]

| Wistar rat                      | 7 mo     | femur            | cancellous – head     | 20–40       | L.m./h&e  | 943                  | 33            | 46                      | ung c                   |
| Rabbit                          | 1.5 yrs  | femur            | cancellous – head     | 20–40       | L.m./h&e  | 679                  | 38            | 54                      | unk c                   |
| Rhesus monkey                   | 4.5–6.7 yrs | femur         | cancellous – head     | 20–40       | L.m./h&e  | 400                  | 50            | 71                      | unk c                   |
| Domestic pig                    | 2.6–3.4 yrs | femur         | cancellous – head     | 20–40       | L.m./h&e  | 400                  | 50            | 71                      | unk c                   |
| Domestic bovine                 | 3.2–9.6 yrs | femur         | cancellous – head     | 20–40       | L.m./h&e  | 295                  | 58            | 82                      | unk c                   |
| Human controls                  | <55 yrs  | ilium            | cancellous – head     | 5           | L.m./Gol  | 207                  | 70            | 99                      | 84 l                   |
|                                | >55 yrs  | ilium            | cancellous – head     | 5           | L.m./Gol  | 166                  | 78            | 110                     | 81 l                   |
| Human w/osteop hip fx           | >55 yrs  | ilium            | cancellous – head     | 5           | L.m./Gol  | 203                  | 70            | 99                      | 79 l                   |
| Human w/osteop vert fx          | >55 yrs  | ilium            | cancellous – head     | 5           | L.m./Gol  | 229                  | 66            | 94                      | 77 l                   |
| Human w/osteop hip/vert fx      | >55 yrs  | ilium            | cancellous – head     | 5           | L.m./Gol  | 212                  | 69            | 97                      | 78 l                   |

Table 4 (continued)
ies using measures of damage that are representative of complexities of physiologic loading [Joo et al., 2004] may help clarify these issues.

When Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar variations appear to be important for fatigue-related adaptation, they might actually be epiphenomena, reflecting a circumstantial relationship with local histology. This relationship may be strongly dependent on the rate of bone growth, which strongly influences the emergence of initial histologic organization in many bones [de Ricqlès et al., 1991; Stover et al., 1992; Castanet et al., 1996; Currey, 2002; de Margerie, 2000; Mishra and Knothe Tate, 2003]. In elderly human females [Marotti, 1996; Götzen et al., 2003].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Bone</th>
<th>Cortical/cancellous</th>
<th>Histology</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Section thickness μm</th>
<th>Method/ stain</th>
<th>Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar n/mm²</th>
<th>2-D Le-Lc distance μm</th>
<th>3-D Le-Lc distance μm</th>
<th>Percent occupancy</th>
<th>Cells or lacunae</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mule deer</td>
<td>young fawn</td>
<td>calcaneus</td>
<td>cortical</td>
<td>mostly 2° mid-diap</td>
<td>&lt;5 BSE</td>
<td>680 38 54 unk 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mule deer</td>
<td>older fawn</td>
<td>calcaneus</td>
<td>cortical</td>
<td>mostly 2° mid-diap</td>
<td>&lt;5 BSE</td>
<td>666 39 55 unk 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mule deer</td>
<td>subadult</td>
<td>calcaneus</td>
<td>cortical</td>
<td>mostly 2° mid-diap</td>
<td>&lt;5 BSE</td>
<td>591 41 58 unk 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mule deer</td>
<td>adult</td>
<td>calcaneus</td>
<td>cortical</td>
<td>mostly 2° mid-diap</td>
<td>&lt;5 BSE</td>
<td>466 46 66 unk 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horse</td>
<td>adult</td>
<td>MC3</td>
<td>cortical</td>
<td>mostly 2° mid-diap</td>
<td>&lt;5 BSE</td>
<td>475 46 65 unk 1</td>
<td>present study</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horse</td>
<td>adult</td>
<td>radius</td>
<td>cortical</td>
<td>mostly 2° mid-diap</td>
<td>&lt;5 BSE</td>
<td>511 44 63 unk 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horse</td>
<td>adult</td>
<td>calcaneus</td>
<td>cortical</td>
<td>mostly 2° mid-diap</td>
<td>&lt;5 BSE</td>
<td>599 41 58 unk 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheep</td>
<td>2 yrs</td>
<td>calcaneus</td>
<td>cortical</td>
<td>mostly 2° mid-diap</td>
<td>&lt;5 BSE</td>
<td>640 40 56 unk 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elk</td>
<td>adult</td>
<td>calcaneus</td>
<td>cortical</td>
<td>mostly 2° mid-diap</td>
<td>&lt;5 BSE</td>
<td>681 38 54 unk 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horse (racing)</td>
<td>3.2 yrs</td>
<td>MC3</td>
<td>cortical</td>
<td>1° and 2° mid-diap</td>
<td>125 epif</td>
<td>589 41 58 unk c</td>
<td>Gómez et al.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horse (non-racing)</td>
<td>9.8 yrs</td>
<td>MC3</td>
<td>cortical</td>
<td>1° and 2° mid-diap</td>
<td>125 epif</td>
<td>552 43 60 unk c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Histology = Specific histology of cortical bone, stated if known; 2-D = 2-dimensional; 3-D = 3-dimensional; cell (c) or lacunae (l) = analysis quantified lacunae versus osteocytes; 1° = primary cortical bone histology not otherwise specified; 2° = secondary cortical bone histology not otherwise specified; unk = unknown; l.m. = light microscope; rib, tib, fem = rib, tibia and femur; mid-diap = mid-diaphysis; h&e = hematoxylin and eosin staining; mo = months; yrs = years; Gol = Goldner staining method; w/osteo = with osteoporotic; vert = vertebral; fx = fracture; fuch = fuchsin staining; resorb = resorbing; quies = quiescent; b.f. = bright field microscopy; epif = epifluorescence microscopy; pre-men = pre-menopausal; post-men = post-menopausal; BSE = backscattered electron imaging.

Optimal versus Adequate Arguments for Prioritizing Functions Influenced by Osteocyte Densities

Nutrient/metabolite availability and transport between cells and/or lacuno-canalicul ar spaces may impose important constraints on Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar [Doty and Schofield, 1972; Kelly and Bronk, 1990; Vashishth et al., 2000; Mishra and Knothe Tate, 2003]. In elderly human femoral neck cortices, Power et al. [2002] showed that Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar decreased with increasing distance from Haversian canals. They concluded that this resulted from rate-limited extracellular canalicul ar fluid flows, i.e. osteocytes farther from canals having less access to nutrients. Modifications in the canalicul ar network can affect the volume of access channels for load-induced convective flow [Marotti, 1996; Knothe Tate, 2003]. However, significant modifications that may occur during bone growth [Palumbo et al., 1990; Okada et al., 2002; Palumbo et al., 2004] seem only possible in maturity if remodeling occurs. Although we did not study relationships between Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar and nutrient sources, previous data...
suggest that Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar would not be greatly limited by nutrient delivery if tissue strains pump nutrients to cells. Martin [2003] notes strong evidence that nutrient transport cannot be accomplished by diffusion through the calcified matrix or through canaliculi, but must involve such convective transport [Knothe Tate et al., 2000; Knothe Tate, 2001]. This ‘… suggests that the very existence of osteocytes in the bone matrix depends on substantial tissue deformations during functional loading’ [Martin, 2003, p. 105]. Consequently, Martin [2003, p. 105] concludes that:

If one assumes that keeping the skeleton light (relative to the size of the animal) has often conferred significant adaptive advantages during vertebrate evolution, then it follows that there have been pressures to push functional strains in bones upward to the point that fatigue damage is an ever present phenomenon. In this scenario, remodeling provides a means to deal with this problem by constantly removing the damage as it occurs. In contrast, nutrient delivery to trabecular bone is more strongly dependent upon transport/diffusion from the marrow [Fyhrie and Kimura, 1999].

Considering relationships between osteocyte densities and their putative biomechanical functions, Fyhrie and Kimura [1999] applied Liebig’s ‘Law of the Minimum’ [Lotka, 1956; Hall, 2000] which states that the growth of an organism is dependent on the amount of essential material available in minimum quantity. Considering the relationship between bone volume fraction and the supply and demand of metabolites, they argue that osteocyte densities may be selected such that they approach a minimum density for satisfying various mechanobiological requirements. Because competing demands can be different between species, histologic types and skeletal locations, an ‘optimum’ Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar probably does not exist, and Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar in a bone or bone region is probably a compromise, falling in an ‘adequate range’ (table 5). Assuming that rates of cell recruitment, not nutritional demands, determine local osteocyte density, then it is theorized that broad interspecies differences (table 4) are strongly influenced by the density of the ‘operational’ network required for strain and fatigue microdamage detection within specific histologic types (table 6). These histologic types, although developmentally constrained, are probably ultimately ‘determined’ by fatigue-related requirements. However, the emergence of Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar can be influenced by a variety of factors, and this is especially obvious when comparing primary versus secondary bone formation (i.e. modeling versus remodeling). For example, as shown in figure 7, various stimuli can influence the rate of osteoblast recruitment and their percent survival as osteocytes, possibly accounting for different cell densities in primary versus secondary bone. Consequently, since modeling results in net bone gain, and remodeling typically results in net bone loss, the older or more highly remodeled the tissue, the lower the correlation between Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar and bone mass.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 5. Some parameters that might influence osteocyte densities in diaphyseal/metaphyseal cortices of limb bones</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Histologic type (e.g., woven, fibrolamellar, secondary osteons)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of secondary bone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remodeling rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Envelope’ (pericortical, middle, endocortical)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local rate of osteogenesis (mineral apposition rate) that produced the tissue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean tissue age (viable osteocytes and Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar decrease with age)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalent/predominant strain mode and other local strain or strain-related characteristics (e.g., fluid flow dynamics)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size/resolution of ‘sensed’ entity (i.e. microdamage)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metabolic requirements/mineral homeostasis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutrient delivery1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Means/efficiency of nutrient delivery may differ significantly between cancellous and cortical bone. For example in cortical bone, the osteocytes can be in closer proximity to the vascular supply and there is greater importance of fluid flow-mediated delivery driven by functional loading. In contrast, nutrient delivery to trabecular bone is more strongly dependent upon transport/diffusion from the marrow [Fyhrie and Kimura, 1999].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 6. Relative influences of some mechanobiological factors or requirements on Ot.Lc.N/B.Ar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Histology/tissue constraints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microdamage detection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social/communication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutrition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metabolism</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Listed in order of putative importance. Top = most important; bottom = least important.
Conclusion

Although osteocytes probably have multifactorial functions, it is not known to what degree these functions are influenced by differences in their densities. Although we have considered our results in the context of proven or hypothesized mechanobiological functions, we found little or no evidence that the number of osteocyte lacunae has a functional role in mechanotransduction pathways that lead to remodeling in the bones of the current study. In view of other investigators’ findings that have shown that at least a portion of remodeling is targeted, we presume that other mechanotransduction pathways predominate in the equine radius and MC3. As discussed in our companion study [Skedros 2005], these can include innervation of bone and changes in the dendritic processes of osteocytes within canaliculi that are not associated with osteocyte death and changes in osteocyte lacunar density. However, we were only able to test some hypotheses indirectly; therefore, care must be taken when considering the present data in these contexts. This study examined only mid-diaphyses of two bones from one species; hence, much work is needed to further examine the various putative osteocyte functions and how they are influenced by cell-cell and cell-matrix interactions in various tissue types, bones and species. Nevertheless, we found relatively uniform osteocyte lacuna densities between regions with highly non-uniform strain-related environments and markedly heterogeneous material organization. It is not known if these relatively uniform densities are associated with cell level ‘adaptations’ that do not require modeling/remodeling events. Whether or not some functions are mediated by ‘volume transmission’ and others by ‘wiring transmission’ are also compelling possibilities about how osteocytes function as ‘operational’ networks. It is clear that the configuration of the osteocytic lacunocanalicular network is well suited for perceiving mechanical and mechanically related environmental stimuli and for influencing remodeling/modeling activities and the targeted repair of microdamage. Although osteocyte densities might vary in accordance with requirements for social/communication, mechanosensory, and nutrition/metabolism requirements/constraints, the mechanisms that govern the production and maintenance of species/site-specific histogenesis might be the most important factors governing the distribution of these cells. In turn, these tissue level ‘adaptations’ appear to require strain and fatigue damage sensors, which may be one function of osteocyte networks.
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