## Abstract 106: American Journal of Physical Anthropology; Vol. 153. 2014.

Recognizing and Resolving Inconsistencies and Inaccuracies in Determining Osteon Circularity: Can Methods be Standardized?

CHAD S. MEARS, KENDRA E. KEENAN, ANTIGONE A. KITHAS, JOHN G. SKEDROS<sup>1</sup>, 1 Dept. of Orthopaedics, Univ. of Utah School of Medicine, Salt Lake City, Utah

Osteon circularity (On.Cr) might help in distinguishing species, interpreting load history, and estimating age. When studying On.Cr using archived backscattered electron(BSE) and circularly polarized images of various primate/non-primate bones, we recognized that inaccuracies can occur when there are seemingly inconsequential differences in scale of actual/physical images used in osteon tracing (although all taken at 50-62.5x). For example, errors might occur if nondigitized Polaroid images (500microns=26mm) from 1980s-1990s are compared to modern digitized images in larger format (500microns=53mm). How closely must image 'sizes' be to achieve <2% error(arbitrary cutoff)? Additionally, do manual and semi-automated computermouse-based tracing provide similar data, and how do these compare with tracing using pen on plastic transparencies vs. a digitizing tablet/stylus? Ten osteons (50x BSE images; human femur, 60years) were manually pen-traced on plastic sheets and scanned for analysis(ImageJ). Quantifying On.Cr this way is highly accurate when using Image"1"(Skedros 2000, J.Bone&Mineral Research). The images were reduced ~25% (500micron=39mm) and ~50% (500micron=26mm) to resemble our archived/unadjusted images, and the osteons were manually re-traced/re-scanned. Differences ("errors" vs. 100% size) included: (1)25% reduction 3±2%(max6%); (2)50% reduction 2±2%(max7%). Using the "Quick Select" tool in Photoshop (instead of pen tracing/scanning) resulted in even greater error (average 14+9%). Additional analysis showed <2% error when reduction is <15%. Because achieving accuracy when quantifying scanned pen-tracings in ImageJ can be challenging (as shown when using computergenerated perfect circles), additional studies will be conducted to determine if a digitizing pad and stylus, as used by Crescimanno and Stout (2012, J. Forensic Science), increases accuracy and efficiency.